Cana 4:07 av 07000 KNE - Danimant 00	Eiled 07/00/0000
ם וו	SDC SDNY CCUMENT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	LECTRONICALLY FILED OC # ATE FILED: 1/21/29
DANIEL SZAROLETA,	
Plaintiff,	07 <b>CIVIL</b> 7639 (KNF)
-against-	<b>JUDGMENT</b>
METRO-NORTH COMMUTER RAILROAD, Defendant.	# 08,1347
A Jury Trial before the Honorable Kevin Nathan having begun on July 21, 2008, and at the conclusion of the rendered a verdict in favor of the plaintiff in the amount of the ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECR	ne trial, on July 25, 2008, the jury having of \$81,750.00, it is,
in the sum of \$81,750.00 as against the defendant.	
DATED: New York, New York July 29, 2008	
	J. MICHAEL McMAHON
So Ordered:	Clerk of Court
Kevin hathanel Fot BY:	2
U.S.M.J.	Deputy Clerk

## CIVIL MEMORANDUM TO DOCKET CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
DANIEL SZAROLETA,	X :	
Plaintiff,	:	07 Cir. 7620 (ENE)
-against-	:	07 Civ. 7639 (KNF)
METRO-NORTH COMMUTER RAILROAD,	:	KEVIN NATHANIEL FOX U.S.M.J
Defendant.	; <b>v</b>	
	A	

July 28, 2008:

Jury trial commenced on July 21, 2008; trial proceedings continued on July 22, 23, 24 and 25, 2008. Plaintiff's attorney Marc Wietzke present. Defendant's attorneys José Rios and Ioana Wenchell present. Court reporters Tom Murray and Joanne Nancari present. The jury found the defendant liable, pursuant to the Federal Employer's Liability Act, and returned a unanimous verdict in favor of the plaintiff, in the amount of \$81,750.00.

Submitted by Edward Pekarek

Law Clerk to the Hon. Kevin Nathaniel Fox

07/28/08

	J <b>THER</b> 1	TATES DISTRICT COURT N DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
DA		ZAROLETA,	X :		
	-agai	Plaintiff, inst-	:	VERDICT FORM	
MET	ro-no	ORTH COMMUTER RAILROAD,	:	07 Civ. 7639 (KNF)	
		Defendant.	x		
I.	FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT				
	1.	Do you find that plaintiff Daniel Szaroleta has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant Metro-North Commuter Railroad was negligent?			
		▼ YES		□ NO	
		If you have answered "YES" to Quantum answered "NO" to Question 1, pro LIABILITY ACT VERDICT and	ceed to p	proceed to Question 2. If you have part II., FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' a verdict for the defendant.	
	2.	do you find that plaintiff Daniel f the evidence, that he suffered an			
		<b>⊻</b> YES		□ №	
	If you have answered "YES" to Question 2, proceed to Question 3, answered "NO" to Question 2, proceed to part II., FEDERAL EMLIABILITY ACT VERDICT and return a verdict for the defendent				



If you have answered "YES" to Question 2, do you find that plaintiff Daniel 3. Szaroleta has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant Metro-North Commuter Railroad's negligence played any part, no matter how slight, in causing the plaintiff's injury? TV YES □ NO

If you have answered "YES" to Question3, proceed to part II., FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT VERDICT and return a verdict for the plaintiff. If you have answered "NO" to Question 3, proceed to part  $\overline{\mathbf{II}}$ . FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT VERDICT and return a verdict for the defendant.

## П. FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT VERDICT

Or for	n the plaintiff's Federal Employers'	Liability Act claim we, the jury,	return a verdict
<b>√</b>	/ Plaintiff Daniel Szaroleta	☐ Defendant Metro-North Comr	nuter Railroad

If you have returned a verdict for the plaintiff, proceed to part III., COMPENSATORY DAMAGES. If you have returned a verdict for the defendant STOP here, sign and date this verdict form and bring it into the courtroom to announce your verdict.

## III. **COMPENSATORY DAMAGES**

Do you find that plaintiff Daniel Szaroleta is entitled to recover damages for:

Pain and suffering from the date of the injury to date: 

If you answer "YES" indicate the amount: \$81,750.00

Now sign and date this verdict form and bring it into the courtroom to announce your verdict.

SO SAY WE ALL.

JUROR #1

JUROR #3

UROR #4

JUROR #6

JUROR #8